

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF OREGON

TERANCE CARPENTER,

No. CV 06-603-AS

Plaintiff,

v.

OPINION AND ORDER

STATE OF OREGON and COUNTY OF
COLUMBIA OREGON

Defendants.

MOSMAN, J.,

On September 11, 2006, Magistrate Judge Ashmanskas issued Findings and Recommendation ("F&R") (#13) in the above-captioned case recommending defendants' motion to dismiss (#5) be GRANTED. Plaintiff filed timely objections on September 27, to which defendants responded on October 9.

The magistrate judge only makes recommendations to the district court, to which any party may file written objections. The court is not bound by the recommendations of the magistrate judge, but retains responsibility for making the final determination. Where objections have been made, I conduct a *de novo* review. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). I am not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the F&R to which no objections are made. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474

U.S. 140, 149 (1985); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003).

Upon review of plaintiff's objections, I agree with Judge Ashmanskas's analysis and recommendation. Thus, I ADOPT the F&R as my own opinion. The case is DISMISSED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 8th day of November, 2006.

/s/ Michael W. Mosman
MICHAEL W. MOSMAN
United States District Court